

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,381	08/17/2001	Serge Lasserre	TI-31354 4434	
23494 7590 02/23/2004 EXAMINE				INER
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD	
	DALLAS, TX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			2188	
			DATE MAILED: 02/23/200	4 //

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	pplication No. Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/932,381	LASSERRE, SERGE			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald G. Bragdon	2188			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under					
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three me earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the claims would require further search and/or consideration.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to: 3,4,6-10 and 14-16.					
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,5,11-13 and 17-20.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		^			
		Kegunald D. Bragdon			
		Reginald G. Bragdon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/932,381

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant should note that the effective filing date of the Nakagawa et al. reference is the filing date of the parent application (12/1/98), in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 120, well before the filing date of the present application.